

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/699,378	10/30/2003	Augusto A. Picozza	Sunhpro-2-4244	3020
7590 02/09/2005			EXAMINER	
Lawrence J. Shurupoff			LOFDAHL, JORDAN M	
Sunbeam Products, Inc. 2381 Executive Center Drive Boca Raton, FL 33431			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3644	
			DATE MAILED: 02/09/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/699,378	PICOZZA ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
\	Jordan Lofdahl	3644
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a oly within the statutory minimum of thi will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO te, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 L</u> 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) Thi 3) Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	s action is non-final. ance except for formal mat	• •
Disposition of Claims	•	
4) Claim(s) 1 and 4-15 is/are pending in the app 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1 and 4-15 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/a	awn from consideration.	
Application Papers	•	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicated any not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct to be at high properties.	cepted or b) objected to e drawing(s) be held in abeya ction is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•	
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in a point documents have been au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage
<u>.</u>		
ttachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Art Unit: 3644

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to all the pending claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1, 4-8 and 13-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(Ø) as being anticipated by Hakim (6647828).

As to claim 1, disclosed a device capable of scraping sweat from an animal ("adapted to scrape sweat" is intended use and the device of Hakim is capable of performing the claimed function) comprising a core made of a first resilient material (col. 2, lines 44-47); defining an elongated curved blade (38) that is capable of scraping sweat and debris from an animal; a handle (18) extending from one end of the blade; a sheath (26) made of a second resilient material provided on said core and along a length of the blade; and the first material (polypropylene) is less resilient than the first (Kraton).

As to claim 4, disclosed is a polymer.

Application/Control Number: 10/699,378

Art Unit: 3644

As to claim 5, disclosed is polypropylene.

As to claim 6, disclosed is a polymer (Kraton).

As to claim 7, disclosed is a rubber (Kraton).

As to claim 8, disclosed is silicon (col. 2, lines 65).

As to claim 13, disclosed is an elongated trough shaped blade (fig. 6a).

As to claim 14, disclosed is an interlock formed between the scraper and the sheath (fig. 6a).

As to claim 15, disclosed is a tongue and groove (fig. 6a).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 9-12 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hakim (6647828).

As to claim 9, not disclosed is the device mad of monomer rubber. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to comprise the device of a monomer rubber; since it has been held to be within the

general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability

Page 4

for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice.

As to claim 10, not disclosed is a vulcanate. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to comprise the device of a vulcanate; since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice

As to claim 11, not disclosed is a monomer rubber and a polymer. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to comprise the device of a monomer rubber and a polymer; since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice.

As to claim 12, not disclosed is monomer rubber and a polypropylene. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to comprise the device of a monomer rubber and a polypropylene.; since it has been

held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jordan Lofdahl whose telephone number is 703.605.1217. The examiner can normally be reached on m-f 9-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Teri Luu can be reached on 703.305.7421. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

jml

TERI PHAM LUU SUPERVISORY PRIMARY EXAMINER